


THE EFFECT OF SPEED HUMPS ON PAVEMENT CONDITIONS IN LOCAL STREETS

NURSHAHANA BINTI YUSOF SHAH

B.ENG(HONS.) CIVIL ENGINEERING

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : NURSHAHANA BINTI YUSOF SHAH

ID Number : AA15108

Date : 28 May 2019

THE EFFECT OF SPEED HUMPS
ON PAVEMENT CONDITIONS
IN LOCAL STREETS

NURSHAHANA BINTI YUSOF SHAH

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the
B. Eng (Hons.) Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering and Earth Resources
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

MAY 2019

**I dedicate this research to my parents, my lecturers and my fellow friends for
unending supports and love.**

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All praise to Allah SWT to Most Gracious and The Most Merciful for his blessings throughout this Final Year Project was successfully finished. I would like to express my gratitude and thanks to my supervisor, Dr Intan Suhana Binti Mohd Razelan for her invaluable guidance and continuous support along my research. Although sometime I felt very disappointed and lost hope for my research but my supervisor always encourage me to make sure I can continue with my thesis since the beginning until the end. She also always making her time for checking my thesis especially my grammar until I am able to published my own thesis.

I would also like to express my special thanks to Dr. Nurul Nadrah Binti Tukimat as my Final Year Project Coordinator for Faculty Civil Engineering and Earth Resources. She always made a reminder for completing this research on time especially the form that must be submitted before presentation. She also always considerate with the student when they are not be able to finishes or submit the form on time due to some problem. Then, I want to say thank you to all the lecturer and staff of Civil Engineering and Earth Resources because had taught me and help me in many ways until I can graduate on time. Besides, not forgot to Mr. Ahmad Khairi Bin Mohd a Highway and Transport's Engineer (J44) at Public Work Department Kuantan as my internship supervisor. He had teach me a lot of grateful knowledge about Highway and Transportation Engineering for me during my internship period.

Deepest gratitude to my parents for their love and sacrifices for me to ensure I can finished my study in Bachelor Degree. Last but not least, a big thanks to a person who always give their support from other side whether it is directly or indirectly including my best friend Fatin Nazirah Binti Yaakob and Nurul Nadia Binti Hairudin.

ABSTRACT

Speed humps is one of the traffic calming devices beside speed bumps, speed tables, roundabouts, transverse rumble strips, optical speed bars, textured pavement, and cat-eye reflectors. Main function of these devices is to control and calm the speed of vehicles such as cars, lorries and motorcycles so that they were driven at suitable speed, through critical areas or local streets. It also can be acknowledged as a raised pavement which is spanning across roadway to force drivers to reduce the speed of their vehicles. By slowing down their vehicles, more time could be given to the pedestrians to safely cross the road. The objective of this research is to identify surface pavements conditions within speed humps area and to determine the most suitable type of road hump to be build based on the traffic volumes at the study area. In order to achieve the objectives, traffic counting were carried out during peak hours at 5 speed humps along Sri Damai Road (C181). The traffic volume for this study area is in range of 2,000-5,000 vehicles per day. From this study, it was found out that the size and characteristics of hump located at the study area are totally different than Public Work Department's standard specification in terms of height and length of hump. The best speed hump that is suitable to be used at this local road is sinusoidal with 4 meter in length and 100 mm of height. The defect that usually occur before and after the hump is crocodile crack, transverse crack, block cracking, pothole and depression by divided it into three main conditions which is less severe, medium severe and badly severe. It is hope that there outcomes from this thesis could help the Public Work Department to plan appropriate measure for surface improvement at humps located at local roads.

ABSTRAK

Humps merupakan salah satu alat penenang trafik selain dari bump, jadual kelajuan, bulatan, jalur melintang, bar kelajuan optik, turapan bertekstur dan mata kucing reflektor. Fungsi utama alat ini adalah untuk mengawal dan menenangkan kelajuan kenderaan seperti kereta, lori dan motosikal supaya mereka memandu kenderaan pada kelajuan yang sesuai, melalui kawasan yang kritikal atau jalan-jalan tempatan. Ia juga boleh diakui sebagai turapan yang dinaikkan merentasi jalan raya untuk memaksa pemandu mengurangkan kelajuan kenderaan mereka. Dengan memperlahankan kenderaan mereka, masa lebih banyak boleh diberikan kepada pejalan kaki untuk menyeberang jalan dengan selamat. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk mengenalpasti keadaan permukaan jalan berdekatan dengan kawasan bonggol dan untuk menentukan bonggol jalan yang paling sesuai digunakan berdasarkan jumlah lalu lintas di kawasan kajian. Untuk mencapai matlamat tersebut, pengiraan lalu lintas telah dijalankan pada waktu puncak di 5 humps sepanjang Jalan Sri Damai (C181). Jumlah trafik bagi kajian ini berada dalam julat antara 2,000-5,000 kenderaan setiap hari. Dari kajian ini, ia mendapati bahawa saiz dan ciri-ciri bonggol yang terletak di kawasan kajian adalah benar-benar berbeza daripada spesifikasi standard Jabatan Kerja Raya dari segi ketinggian dan panjang bonggol. Bonggol kelajuan terbaik yang sesuai untuk digunakan di jalan raya tempatan ini adalah sinusoidal dengan 4 meter panjang dan 100 mm tinggi. Kecacatan yang biasanya berlaku disekitar bonggol adalah buaya retak, retak yang melintang, blok retak, pothole dan kemurungan yang boleh dibahagikan kepada tiga keadaan seperti kurang teruk, sederhana teruk dan teruk sekali. Adalah menjadi harapan bahawa hasil dari tesis ini dapat membantu Jabatan Kerja Raya dalam merancang langkah-langkah yang sesuai untuk penambahbaikan permukaan pada humps yang terletak di jalan-jalan tempatan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

STUDENT’S DECLARATION

TITLE PAGE

DEDICATION

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS ii

ABSTRACT..... iii

ABSTRAKiv

TABLE OF CONTENTS v

LIST OF TABLESix

LIST OF FIGURES.....x

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION 1

1.1 Introduction.....1

1.2 Background of Research.....4

1.3 Problem Statement8

1.4 Research Objectives9

1.5 Scope of Study9

1.6 Significant of Study.....10

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW..... 11

2.1 Introduction.....11

2.2 Traffic Calming Devices12

2.3 Speed Breaker14

2.3.1 Speed Hump.....14

2.3.2	Speed Bump	16
2.3.3	Speed Table	17
2.4	Design Characteristic of Speed Hump	19
2.4.1	Speed Hump Profile and Physical Characteristics	19
2.4.2	Speed Hump Materials	21
2.5	Speed Humps Issues and Problems	24
2.5.1	Level of Services	24
2.5.2	Pavements Deteriorations	25
2.6	Type of Pavement Deterioration Causes by Speed Hump	27
2.6.1	Cracking	27
2.6.2	Surface Deformation	30
2.6.3	Disintegration.....	32
2.7	Speed Hump Installation	33
2.7.1	Criteria and Conditions for Installation the Speed Hump	33
2.7.2	Road and Road Line Specification.....	35
2.8	Summary.....	38
CHAPTER 3	METHODOLOGY	39
3.1	Introduction.....	39
3.2	Project flowchart	40
3.3	Identification of Study Area	41
3.4	Data Collection	45
3.4.1	Measuring the Dimension of Road and Speed Hump	45
3.4.2	Measuring the Defect of Pavement's Condition	46
3.4.3	Traffic Counting.....	48
3.5	Summary.....	49

CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSSION	50
4.1 Introduction.....	50
4.2 The Type and Characteristics of Speed Hump	50
4.3 The Effect of Speed Hump toward Pavement Condition	56
4.4 Type of Speed Hump based on the Traffic Volumes.	59
4.4.1 The Suitable Type of Speed Hump at Hump 1	62
4.4.2 The Suitable Type of Speed Hump at Hump 2	65
4.4.3 The Suitable Type of Speed Hump at Hump 3	69
4.4.4 The Suitable Type of Speed Hump at Hump 4	72
4.4.5 The Suitable Type of Speed Hump at Hump 5	76
4.5 Summary.....	80
 CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION.....	81
5.1 Introduction.....	81
5.2 The Type and Characteristics of Speed Hump.	82
5.3 The Effect of Speed Humps towards Pavement Condition at Local Streets.	83
5.4 Suitable Type of Road Hump based on the Traffic Volumes.....	84
5.5 Conclusion	85
5.6 Recommendation about Future Research	86
5.7 Summary.....	87
 REFERENCES	88
 APPENDICES	92
APPENDIX A - Sample Size of Speed Hump	92
APPENDIX B – The Location for Speed Hump	93
APPENDIX C- Cross Section and Plan View of Sinusoidal Hump.....	94
APPENDIX D – Rigid Pavement vs Flexible Pavement.....	95

APPENDIX E – Type A of Hump.....	96
APPENDIX F- Type B of Hump.....	97
APPENDIX G - The Defect of Pavement Occur at Case Study Area	98
APPENDIX H - Drawing Plan Sri Damai’s Road	100

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	The Standard Characteristic of Humps in Malaysia	20
Table 3.1	Location of Each Hump in Study Area	43
Table 3.2	Specification of Pavement Defect	47
Table 4.1	Size of Speed Hump Based on JKR Standard	51
Table 4.2	Comparison Type of Speed Humps in JKR Standard	52
Table 4.3	Rating of Pavement Defect and Traffic Volume at Each Hump	56
Table 4.4	Type of Hump Based on Traffic Volume	60
Table 4.5	Traffic Volume for Each Lane at Hump 1	63
Table 4.6	Traffic Volume for Each Lane at Hump 2	66
Table 4.7	Traffic Volume for Each Lane at Hump 3	70
Table 4.8	Traffic Volume for Each Lane at Hump 4	73
Table 4.9	Traffic Volume for Each Lane at Hump 5	77

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Speed Hump	15
Figure 2.2	Speed Bump	16
Figure 2.3	Speed Table	18
Figure 2.4	The Type of Speed Hump Profile	19
Figure 2.5	Concrete of Speed Hump	22
Figure 2.6	Rubber Type of Speed Hump	23
Figure 2.7	Warning Signboard of Speed Hump	34
Figure 2.8	Yellow Line for Road and Speed Hump	37
Figure 3.1	Project Flowchart of Case Study	40
Figure 3.2	Map of Speed Hump	42
Figure 3.3	Drawing Plan at Speed Hump's Area	44
Figure 3.4	Measuring Road and Speed Hump	45
Figure 3.5	Measuring the Defect	47
Figure 4.1	Dimension of Speed Hump 1	52
Figure 4.2	Dimension of Speed Hump 2	53
Figure 4.3	Dimension of Speed Hump 3	54
Figure 4.4	Dimension of Speed Hump 4	54
Figure 4.5	Dimension of Speed Hump 5	55
Figure 4.6	Speed Hump versus Volume of Vehicle	60
Figure 4.7	Traffic Volume for Each Lane at Speed Hump 1	62
Figure 4.8	Traffic Volume for Each Lane at Speed Hump 2	66
Figure 4.9	Traffic Volume for Each Lane at Speed Hump 3	69
Figure 4.10	Traffic Volume for Each Lane at Hump 4	73
Figure 4.11	Traffic Volume for Each Lane at Hump 5	76

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Speed humps is one of the traffic calming devices besides speed bumps, speed tables, roundabouts, transverse rumble strips, optical speed bars, textured pavement, and cat-eye reflectors used to controlled and calming the speed of vehicle such as car, lorries and motorcycles at a suitable speed, usually below than 30 km/hr when travelling through a critical area or local streets. It also can be derives as a raised pavement which is spanning across a roadway to forcing the drivers reduces the speed of vehicle and improve a condition of pedestrian street users because it can give some time to the pedestrian to safely cross the road (Bekheet, 2014).

Moreover, installation of the speed humps not only for safety of pedestrian but also to other vehicle's user to cross without any chances of involving in crashes. Speed hump is the most common type of speed calming devices used worldwide as it is more comfortable to the user because it was designed with wide length and lower height compare to speed bumps. Besides that, it can also reduce the impact of collision between the bottom of the vehicle and a surface of hump that can make the vehicle damaged easily (Berthod, 2011).

Although speed hump and speed bump have a similar objective which is to reduce the speed of vehicle and the impact of user but both devices are definitely different based on their application and its characteristic. The height of speed hump generally between

75mm to 150mm with the length of 3.7m to 4m meanwhile the height for speed bump is 75mm with the length of 300mm to 500mm which can causes a shock to the vehicles due to high impact from this bump.

Besides, the cost to build or doing maintenance for the speed hump is much cheaper as it doesn't involve any electrical and electronic system. The device also is quite easy to install without using any complicated method by referring to the standard specification at every country provided. However in Malaysia, they need to refer for Public Work Department's standard that was decided for all state.

In 1988, road humps or known as road hump undulation and speed bump was first provided at City of Wakefield MDC on Chidswell Lane, Gawthorpe. Function of this hump is to improve the condition of safety and to reduce the number of accident by building a rounded section of pavement as a traffic calming device (Cottrell et al., 2006). The type of vertical speed control is deemed suitable and the design fitted the vehicle crossing speed which is between 20 until 30 km/hr to slow a vehicle on local streets but not at private road and parking lots (Hallmark et al., 2012)

According to the data obtained from French Safety Department, cost of injury caused by speed bump had increased to 9.7 billion at local streets for every year (Haddak, 2016). That means, a lot of crash occurred even when speed bump is provided. The speed humps usually built at a local streets since this type of road is near to the residential area and lot of vehicle especially the cars and motorcycles were drove through that road (Rychlewski, 2016).

Local streets or local road can be derived as a street that is primarily used to gain an access to the property bordering having lowest speed limit and carry low volumes of traffic or intra town movement (Iman et al., 2018). It can be provided by access and circulatory roads in land development including residential areas under Public Work Department or City Council's responsibilities. Local streets can be categorized as basic road network in neighborhoods. Local streets can provide links through the collector road and primarily to serve short trip lengths (Roads, 2012).

Local street was categorized as U2 that stands for urban design standard that have low geometric and low commercial traffic volumes which is rarely exceeding 2,000 Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) with less than 30 vehicle through the route in a day. Usually the range of speeds applied at local streets is limited to 60 until 70 km/h with no limitation of access, and the width of roads usually 5.5 until 6.0 m with very narrow unpaved shoulders about less than 1.0m (Johnson & Nedzesky, 2004)

Hump is normally suggested for a road having around 1,000 to 5,000 vehicle per day. The suitable size of hump for this type of road is normally 4m in length with 100 mm in height for 25 km/hr crossing speed. Although the local or residential streets only have the lowest traffic volume which is less than 2,000 vehicle per day including car, motorcycle, van, medium and heavy lorry, the requirement of constructing a hump is merely based on the number of crash cases occurred within the area (Ahmed, 2010).

The local streets is listed as a lowest level in the road hierarchy but it was an important road to link between the communities. This road is known as formal road network having community service facilities along the route such as clinic, factories and school. Consequently, installation of the speed hump on local streets can help reducing speed of vehicles that directly protecting pedestrian, bicyclist. Nevertheless, it can also produce a negative impact to the pavement condition as it might reduce a pavement service life due to improper design specification and characteristics of speed hump in term of height and width.

In Malaysia most of the road is flexible pavement type due to the lower cost of construction and easily to do maintenance (Ahmed & Erlingsson, 2016). Flexible pavement was constructed by several layers of natural granular material that started with natural subgrade layer then compacted subgrade layer, sub-base coarse layer, base coarse layer, binder coarse layer and surface coarse layer that have a tack coat and seal coat as a glue to bind the other layer together (Adlinge & Gupta, 2013). A flexible pavement will bend under the load of a tires so if the base layer is weak, the pavement will be easily damaged if additional load from the speed hump is also been put to the sub base layer.

Moreover, the friction between tires and pavement when the vehicle started to slow down near the hump, crossing the hump and increase the speed after passing the hump is

REFERENCES

- Huang, H., & Cynecki, M. 2000. Effects of Traffic Calming Measures on Pedestrian and Motorist Behavior. *Transportation Research Record*. 1705(1): 26–31.
- Department of Transport, U. 2003. Traffic Calming (online). https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/329454/ltn-1-07_Traffic-calming.pdf (March).
- Johnson, L., & Nedzesky, A. J. 2004. A Comparative Study of Speed Humps , Speed Slots and Speed Cushions. ITE Annual Meeting and Exhibit, 14.
- Africa, S.2006. Guidelines for traffic calming. (24 April).
- Cottrell, W. D., Kim, N., Martin, P. T., & Perrin. H. J. 2006. Effectiveness of Traffic Management in Salt Lake City, Utah. *Journal of Safety Research*. 37(1): 27–41.
- Dalgleish, T., Williams, J. M. G., Golden, A.M. J., Perkins, N., Barrett, L. F., Barnard, P. J., Watkins, E. 2007. Manual of Road Safety Facility. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*. 136(1): 23–42.
- Parkhill, M., Eng, P., Sooklall, R., Sc, M. a, & Bahar, G. 2007. Updated Guidelines for the Design and Application of Speed Humps. Annual Meeting and Exhibit Compendium of Technical Papers. 13.
- Lee, T. K., & Kim, B. S. 2008. Vibration Analysis of Automobile Tire due to Bump Impact. *Applied Acoustics*. 69(6): 473–478.
- Ahmed, U. 2010. Passenger Car Equivalent Factors for Level Freeway Segments Operating under Moderate and Congested Conditions.
- Berthod, C. 2011. Traffic Calming Speed Humps and Speed Cushions. Conference and Exhibition of the Transportation Association of Canada - Transportation Successes: Let's Build on Them. 17.
- Hallmark, S., Knapp, K., Thomas, G., & Smith, D. 2012. Temporary Speed Hump Impact Evaluation. October, (July).

- Adlinge, S. S. Shivaji U. I., & Gupta, A. K. Shivaji U. I. 2013. Pavement Deterioration and its Causes. *Journal of Mechanical & Civil Engineering*. 9–15.
- Antić, B., Pešić, D., Vujanić, M., & Lipovac, K. 2013. The Influence of Speed Bumps Heights to the Decrease of the Vehicle Speed - Belgrade Experience. *Safety Science*. 57: 303–312.
- Rosli, N. S., & Hamsa, A. A. K. 2013. Evaluating the Effects of Road Hump on Traffic Volume and Noise Level at Taman Keramat Residential Area, Kuala Lumpur. *Journal of the Eastern Asia Society for Transportation Studies*. 10: 1171–1188.
- Bekheet, W. 2014. Short term Performance and Effect of Speed Humps on Pavement Condition of Alexandria Governorate roads. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*. 53(4): 855–861.
- El-Bany, M. E. S., Shahin, M. M., Hashim, I. H., & Serag, M. S. 2014. Policy sensitive mode choice analysis of Port-Said City, Egypt. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*. 53(4): 891–901.
- Swanson, L., Abraham, J., Smith, M., Smith, M., & Tripi, E. 2014. Speed Control in Residential Areas.43.
- Perbandaran, M., & Perai, S. 2015. Garis Panduan Pembinaan Bonggol Jalan (online). <http://www.mpsp.gov.my/brgonline/garispanduan/kej/bonggol.pdf%5Cn>.
- Ahmed, A., & Erlingsson, S. 2016. Viscoelastic Response Modelling of a Pavement under Moving Load. *Transportation Research Procedia*. 14: 748–757.
- Axelsen, C., Brookes, H., Jauhiainen, T., Johnson, P., Smit, R., & Zarghampour, H. (2016). Making Use of Research Based Knowledge in Boosting Innovation in the European Roads Sector: A Case Study on Climate Change from the CEDR Transnational Research Collaboration. *Transportation Research Procedia*. 14: 42–50.
- Błazejowski, K., Wójcik-Wiśniewska, M., Peciakowski, H., & Olszacki, J. 2016. The Performance of a Highly Modified Binders for Heavy Duty Asphalt Pavements. *Transportation Research Procedia*. 14: 679–684.

- Elias, D., Nadler, B., Nadler, F., & Hauger, G. 2016. OPTIHUBS - Multimodal Hub Process Optimization by Means of Micro Simulation. *Transportation Research Procedia*. 14: 457–466.
- Flores, R. F., Montoliu, C. M. P., & Bustamante, E. G. 2016. Life Cycle Engineering for Roads (LCE4ROADS), the New Sustainability Certification System for Roads from the LCE4ROADS FP7 Project. *Transportation Research Procedia*. 14: 896–905.
- Haddak, M. M. 2016. Estimating the Willingness-to-pay for Road Safety Improvement. *Transportation Research Procedia*. 14: 293–302.
- Jateikienė, L., Andriejauskas, T., Lingyte, I., & Jasiuniene, V. 2016. Impact Assessment of Speed Calming Measures on Road Safety. *Transportation Research Procedia*. 14: 4228–4236.
- Lind, M., Hägg, M., Siwe, U., & Haraldson, S. 2016. Sea Traffic Management - Beneficial for all Maritime Stakeholders. *Transportation Research Procedia*. 14: 183–192.
- Ogundipe, O. M. 2016. Marshall Stability and Flow of Lime-Modified Asphalt Concrete. *Transportation Research Procedia*. 14: 685–693.
- Jain, M., & Singh, A. P. 2013. Speed-Breaker Early Warning System.
- Patel, T., & Vasudevan, V. 2016. Impact of Speed Humps of Bicyclists. *Safety Science*. 89: 138–146.
- Peña-García, A., Hurtado, A., & Aguilar-Luzón, M. C. 2016. Considerations about the Impact of Public Lighting on Pedestrians' Perception of Safety and Well-Being. *Safety Science*. 89: 315–318.
- Radhiah Bachok, K. S., Kadar Hamsa, A. A., Bin Mohamed, M. Z., & Ibrahim, M. 2017. A theoretical overview of Road Hump Effects on Traffic Noise in Improving Residential Well-Being. *Transportation Research Procedia*. 25: 3387–3401.
- Rashid, Z. Bin, & Gupta, R. 2017. Study of Defects in Flexible Pavement and its Maintenance.02(06): 30–37.

- Roads, M. 2012. Different Types of Roads and Their purpose Mains Road and Kessels Road.
- Rychlewski, J. 2016. Street Network Design for a Sustainable Mobility System. *Transportation Research Procedia*. 14: 528–537.
- Sofi, S. S. A., & Hamsa, A. A. K. 2016. A study on the Effects of Road Humps in ReducingSpeed along Local Roads in Residential Areas: Case study of Taman Setiawangsa. *Planning Malaysia*. 14: 55–66.
- Valentová, T., Altman, J., & Valentin, J. 2016. Impact of Asphalt Ageing on the Activity of Adhesion Promoters and the Moisture Susceptibility. *Transportation Research Procedia*. 14: 768–777.
- Henning-Smith, C., Evenson, A., Kozhimannil, K., & Moscovice, I. 2018. Geographic Variation in Transportation Concerns and Adaptations to Travel-Limiting Health Conditions in the United States. *Journal of Transport and Health*. 8 July 2017: 137–145.
- Scholes, S., Wardlaw, M., Anciaes, P., Heydecker, B., & Mindell, J. S. 2018. Fatality Rates Associated with Driving and Cycling for All Road Users in Great Britain 2005–2013. *Journal of Transport and Health*. 8 October 2017: 321–333.
- Williams, G. C., Borghese, M. M., & Janssen, I. 2018. Neighborhood Walkability and Objectively Measured Active Transportation Among 10–13 Year Olds. *Journal of Transport and Health*. 8 December 2017: 202–209.
- Yu, C. Y., Xu, M., Towne, S. D., & Iman, S. 2018. Assessing the Economic Benefits and Resilience of Complete Streets in Orlando, FL: A Natural Experimental Design Approach. *Journal of Transport and Health*. 8 August 2017, 169–178.